



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6716
Title of the Manuscript:	BERNOULLI POLYNOMIALS AND CONVOLUTION SUMS
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	It will be better that lemma 2 is located in next section with its proof Corollary 1.5 and after Remark 2.3 the sentence are not required. You should need more references	1) I transfer Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3 in the front of its proof in the Section 2. 2) I put four papers more in the reference. 3) I am sorry that I can not understand your other comments.
Optional/General comments		